

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Tetrahedron

Tetrahedron 63 (2007) 1146–1153

Ni-catalyzed activation of a-chloroesters: a simple method for the synthesis of α -arylesters and β -hydroxyesters

Muriel Durandetti,* Corinne Gosmini and Jacques Périchon

Laboratoire d'Electrochimie, Catalyse et Synthèse Organique, UMR 7582 CNRS-Université Paris 12 Val de Marne, 2 Rue Henri-Dunant, 94320 Thiais, France

> Received 23 October 2006; revised 15 November 2006; accepted 20 November 2006 Available online 13 December 2006

Abstract—Coupling reactions of α -chloroesters with aryl halides (α -arylation) or carbonyl compounds (Reformatsky) using nickel catalyst allow, under mild conditions, the preparation of various functionalized aryl propionic acid derivatives or β -hydroxyesters. In the synthesis of aryl propionic acid derivatives, the process is efficient with aryl halides bearing either electron-withdrawing or electron-donating groups. © 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The nucleophilic character coupled with low basicity of Reformatsky reagents has resulted in their renewed interest as enolates sources. For example, they have been found to be effective in nucleophilic addition to carbonyl compounds,[1](#page-6-0) and more recently as nucleophilic partners in coupling reactions with aryl halides mediated by palladium.[2](#page-6-0) Since the Reformatsky reaction is initiated by the insertion of zinc into halogen–carbon bond, most efforts have been focused on the activation of zinc. In addition a number of other metals and catalysts,^{[3](#page-6-0)} such as nickel,^{[4](#page-6-0)} manganese,^{[5](#page-6-0)} chromium, 6 indium, 7 ... were also found active. In our laboratory, we have already described some electrochemical processes for the Reformatsky reaction.[8](#page-7-0)

Aryl propanoic acids, like ibuprofen, are known to be non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.^{[9](#page-7-0)} A mild and an efficient procedure to obtain functionalized aryl acetic or propanoic acid is thus of great interest. Many routes to selected structures of therapeutic interest have been described, from either a benzylic^{[10](#page-7-0)} or an aromatic derivative,^{[11](#page-7-0)} or by rearrangement of propiophenone,^{[9](#page-7-0)} but the obtention of this products by an arylation-type reaction will be much interesting, taking into consideration that variously substituted aryl halides are commonly available. We have developed the electrochemical cross-coupling reaction between aryl halides and methyl chloroacetate or methyl 2-chloropropanoate, using $NiBr₂bipy$ as catalyst.^{[12](#page-7-0)} In all cases, isolated yields were good to excellent, whatever the functional group in aryl

halides was. However electrochemical reactions are often considered as being more difficult to handle than conventional classical methods. Thus, electrochemical processes are poorly applied in industrial scale and therefore we developed pure 'chemical' processes instead of electrochemical processes. $8d,e,13$ We now report a chemical method for a nickel-catalyzed activation of a-chloroesters, leading to aryl propanoic esters (Eq. 2) and β -hydroxyesters (Eq. 1), using $NiBr_2bipy$ as catalyst in the presence of an appropriate reducing metal (Scheme 1). The usual chemical routes to the arylnickel compounds however require the tedious preparation of $Ni(0)$ complexes such as $Ni(cod)_{2}$. But we developed an interesting alternative, which is the in situ generation of Ni(0) complexes in the presence of both the aromatic halide and the electrophile. The main advantages of the method are the use of an easily prepared Ni(II)bipy complex in catalytic amounts and the easy control of the overall reactions.

Scheme 1. Nickel-catalyzed activation of α -chloroesters.

2. Results and discussion

At first we studied different parameters such as the solvent, the ligands, and particularly the reducing metal. Results are

^{*} Corresponding author at present address: Laboratoire des Fonctions Azotées & Oxygénées Complexes de L'IRCOF, UMR 6014 CNRS, Université de Rouen, 76821 Mont Saint-Aignan Cedex, France. Tel.: +33 2 35 52 24 37; fax: +33 2 35 52 29 71; e-mail: muriel.durandetti@univ-rouen.fr

^{0040–4020/\$ -} see front matter © 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tet.2006.11.055

Table 1. Optimization of the cross-coupling reaction between cyclohexanone and methyl 2-chloropropanoate using $NiBr₂$ salt as catalyst

Entry	Catalyst	Solvent	М	Time	Yields $(\%)$
	NiBr ₂ 10%	MeCN	Mn	24 h	76
$\overline{2}$	NiBr ₂ bipy 10%	DMF	Mn	1 h	88
3	NiBr ₂ bipy 10%	DMF	Mg	30 min	11 ^a
$\overline{4}$	NiBr ₂ bipy 10%	DMF	Zn	100h	50 ^b
.5	NiBr ₂ bipy 10%	DMF	Al	100h	

^a Claisen product is obtained as the major product.
^b Ketone (48%) is recovered.

reported in Table 1, concerning the Reformatsky reaction and in Table 2 for the syntheses of aryl propanoic esters.

We have found that manganese is the best metal for the reduction of NiBr₂bipy (Table 1, entries 1 and 2 and Table 2, entries 1 and 2) in both reactions. The use of manganese as a benign reducing agent in combination with an effective metal salt has already been originally described.^{[8e,13a,14](#page-7-0)} The decrease in the chemical yield with magnesium metal can be explained by the occurrence of Claisen condensation as side reaction (Table 1, entry 3 and Table 2, entry 4). This reaction could be minimized by adding a large excess of α -chloroester using the portionwise addition (Table 2, entry 5). With Zn as the reducing metal, the Reformatsky reaction occurs very slowly (Table 1, entry 4), but Zn cannot be employed for the cross-coupling with aryl halides (Table 2, entry 3). Aluminum could not be used as a reducing metal, no cross-coupling occurred in both reactions even after four days (Table 1, entry 5 and Table 2, entry 6). With manganese metal, we observed at first that the Reformatsky reaction is best performed at room temperature using $NiBr_2bipy$ in DMF, than $NiBr₂$ in acetonitrile (Table 1, entries 1 and 2). However, the cross-coupling with aryl halide occurs faster at 50 °C than at room temperature (Table 2, entries 1 and 2). The amount of nickel salt could be decreased to 3%, but in these conditions reaction times are sometimes longer.

After optimization the best conditions are found to be 0.07 equiv of NiBr₂bipy versus carbonyl compounds or aryl halides in the presence of manganese metal in DMF as solvent at room temperature for the Reformatsky reaction and 50 °C for the synthesis of arylesters, and yields 40–99% of coupling product in 15 min to 2 h depending on the substrate [\(Scheme 1\)](#page-0-0).

Table 2. Optimization of the cross-coupling reaction between *m*-trifluoromethyl bromobenzene and methyl 2-chloropropanoate using NiBr₂bipy (10%) as catalyst

Entry	Solvent	М	Time	Yields $(\%)$
	DMF ^a	Mn	5 h	82
$\overline{2}$	DMF^b	Mn	1 h	78
3	DMF^b	Zn	24h	
$\overline{4}$	DMF^b		30 min	11°
5	DMF^b	Mg Mg ^o	1 h	39 ^c
6	DMF^b		100 _h	

Reaction is carried out at room temperature.
Reaction is carried out at 50° C.

Claisen product and *m*-CF₃PhCHO were obtained as major products. α -Chloroesters (5 equiv) were introduced in five portions to minimize the Claisen condensation.

Concerning the Reformatsky reaction, this process was applied to a large variety of carbonyl compounds (1–10) (Fig. 1). Results for the coupling with methyl 2-chloropropanoate are given in Table 3.

Figure 1. Carbonyl compounds used in the nickel Reformatsky reaction.

Table 3. Nickel-catalyzed cross-coupling between methyl 2-chloropropanoate and carbonyl compounds^a

Entry	Carbonyl compounds	Isolated yields $(\%)$ of coupling product ^b		antilsyn
$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	OH O	88	
$\mathbf{2}$	$\overline{\mathbf{c}}$	OH O	$61^{\rm c}$	63/37
3	3	ი HO O	69	72/28
$\overline{4}$	$\overline{\bf 4}$	OH O	92	54/46
5	5^d	O HO	33 ^e	
6	6	OH O	90	
$\overline{7}$	7	HO ll O	99	60/40
8	8	HO O Ö	92	60/40
9	9 ^d	O Ö ÒН	46	59/41
10	10^d	O ÒН Ó.	19	58/42

Typical procedure: see Section 3.
Isolated yields, based on initial carbonyl compounds. All products gave satisfactory analytical data.
^c No 1,4-addition product was detected.
^d Reaction is carried out at 50 $^{\circ}$ C.

Ketone (60%) is recovered.

Results in [Table 3](#page-1-0) show that the cross-coupling is efficient with ketones, whatever their structures are (aromatic, aliphatic or cyclic) ([Table 3](#page-1-0), entries 1–8). Chemical yields are good to excellent (61–99%), except for benzophenone 5, which needs a higher temperature. With aldehydes the efficiency is less due to a major pinacolization reaction. No conjugated addition was observed with enone 2, thus indicating that the reaction is regioselective.

In the case of dissymmetric carbonyl compounds, we obtained the two diastereoisomers with moderate diastereoselectivities [\(Table 3,](#page-1-0) entries 2–4, 7–10), depending on the nature of the carbonyl compound.

In all of cases, 1.3 equiv of α -chloroester is necessary.

Coupling methyl 2-chloroacetate with the same ketones 1–8 also gave moderate to good yields of β -hydroxyesters (50–90% isolated yield, Table 4).

As already observed in the case of electroreductive coupling between aryl halide and α -chloroester with a nickel catalyst, 12 12 12 the coupling with chloroacetate (Table 4) is less efficient than with chloropropionate [\(Table 3](#page-1-0)). Furthermore the excess of α -chloroester, necessary to consume totally the carbonyl compounds, is more important with methyl 2 chloroacetate than with methyl 2-chloropropanoate (1.7–2 instead of 1.3 equiv are necessary).

We have then extended the process to α -chloronitrile. Thus, as a preliminary study, the coupling between 3-pentanone $\bf{6}$ and α -chloropropionitrile proceeds in good yield (Scheme 2).

Table 4. Nickel-catalyzed cross-coupling between methyl 2-chloroacetate and carbonyl compounds^a

^a Typical procedure: see Section 3.
^b Isolated yields, based on initial carbonyl compounds. All products gave satisfactory analytical data.

Scheme 2. The addition of α -chloropropionitrile to 3-pentanone via nickel catalyst.

To extend the scope of this process, we intend to show that this method can be suitable for the activation of allyl acetates. The first results show that the method can also be applied to the preparation of homoallylic alcohols (Scheme 3, and Table 5).

Scheme 3. The Addition of allyl acetates to ketones via nickel catalyst.

Thus, as a preliminary study, the coupling between ketones and allylic acetates proceeds in good yields, if the reaction is carried out at 80 \degree C (Table 5, entries 1 and 2). Then we wanted to test the regioselectivity of this coupling reaction. So we have run experiment using crotyl acetate. Reactions involving allylic derivatives generally give two isomeric products due to allylic transposition (Scheme 3). The ratio of branched to linear alcohol (B/L) is known to depend mainly on the nature of the metal in the organometallic re-agent.^{[15](#page-7-0)} However, in this method, the major product formed seems to be the branched product B, with a ratio B/L of 95/5 (Table 5, entry 3).

We have then focused on the second reaction, leading directly the ester of the desired aryl acetic and α -aryl-propanoic acid, in a simple and an efficient process compared to

Table 5. Allylation of ketones using NiBr₂bipy as catalyst^a

Entry	Ketone	Reaction time(h)	Isolated yields (%) of coupling product ^b		Ratio B/L
1	1	9	OH	59 ^c	
\mathfrak{D}	1	$\overline{2}$	OН	74 ^d	
3	1	3	OH	69 ^d	95/5
	4	5	OH	$54^{\rm d,e}$	

^a Typical procedure: see Section 3.
^b Isolated yields, based on initial ketones. All products gave satisfactory analytical data.

- ^c Reaction is carried out at room temperature.
d Reaction is carried out at 80 $^{\circ}$ C.
-
- Ketone (20%) is recovered.

^c Ketone (50%) is recovered.
^d Ketone (20%) is recovered and 6% of methyl 3,3-diethyl-2-oxiranecarboxylate is obtained.

other describes routes [\(Scheme 1](#page-0-0), Eq. [2](#page-0-0)). Results for the cross-coupling reaction between aryl halides and methyl 2-chloropropanoate, using $NiBr_2bipy$ as catalyst, are given in Table 6.

In all cases, the reactions are conducted in the presence of 1.3 equiv or less of the chloroester relative to the aryl halide. Reactions involving aryl iodides were run at room temperature approximately for 1 h to give good yields (Table 6, entries 1, 10, and 11). With aryl bromides, even if the reaction is efficient at room temperature, a faster reaction is obtained at 50 °C (vide supra) ([Table 2,](#page-1-0) entries 1 and 2). The yields are good to excellent and do not seem to greatly depend on the nature of the ring substituents. Reaction is as efficient with electron-donating (Table 6, entry 10) than with electronwithdrawing group (Table 6, entries 2–9). With electrondonating substituents, aryl iodides are best performed (lower

Table 6. Nickel-catalyzed cross-coupling between methyl 2-chloropropanoate and aryl halides⁸

Entry	Aryl halides	Isolated yields (%) of coupling product ^b			
$\mathbf{1}$		OMe l O	87		
$\mathbf{2}$	Br F_3C	OMe F_3C ő	78		
3	CI F_3C	OMe F_3C ll Ö	20		
$\overline{4}$	F_3C Br	F_3C OMe	70		
5	NC Br	NC OMe	75		
6	MeO ₂ C Br	MeO ₂ C OMe	65		
7	MeO ₂ C CI	MeO ₂ C OMe	20		
8	CO ₂ Me Br	CO ₂ Me OMe	40		
9	Br	OMe	70		
10	MeO $\overline{}$	MeO -OMe	69		
11		O OMe	82		

^a Typical procedure: see Section 3. b Isolated yields, based on initial aryl halides. All products gave satisfactory analytical data.

yields were obtained with aryl bromides). With electronwithdrawing groups aryl bromides or iodides could be used. However, aryl chlorides are not enough reactive to allow good yields of coupling product (Table 6, entries 3 and 7). With an ortho-substituent, a lower yield is obtained due to steric effects (Table 6, entry 8). The reaction is highly chemoselective, as no addition products with ketones groups are detected (Table 6, entry 9), even if acetophenone 3 allows good yields with methyl 2-chloropropanoate in the Reformatsky reaction ([Table 3,](#page-1-0) entry 3).

Coupling methyl chloroacetate with aryl halides also gave good yields of aryl acetic esters (55–88% isolated yield, Table 7), using the same procedure.

During these studies, a minor biaryl by-product (less than 10%) was observed arising from homocoupling of the aryl halide. This homocoupled by-product has been observed pre-viously in other aryl halide cross-coupling reaction.^{[8b,12,16](#page-7-0)} Optimization of the coupling reaction allowed the major formation of the desired heterocoupled product. However, we thought that it would also be possible to refine conditions that would facilitate the formation of the homocoupling product. The chemical process was first optimized with 1-bromonaphthalene because its homocoupling was generally limited, and 1,1'-binaphthyl, when substituted in the 2,2'-positions, is a good candidate for the formation of atropisomers.[17](#page-7-0) Using the same process, 92% of binaphthyl is obtained in 2 h at 50 °C using 7 mol % of NiBr₂bipy (Scheme 4).

Table 7. Nickel-catalyzed cross-coupling between methyl 2-chloroacetates and aryl halides^a

Entry	Aryl halides	Isolated yields $(\%)$ of coupling product ^b	
1	F_3C_3 Br	F_3C OMe	62
\overline{c}	F_3C Br	F_3C OMe	88
3	NC Br	NC OMe	55
4	MeO ₂ C Br	MeO ₂ C OMe	72
5	Br	OMe	77

Typical procedure: see Section 3.
Isolated yields, based on initial aryl halides. All products gave satisfactory analytical data.

Scheme 4. Homocoupling reaction of 1-bromonaphthalene.

In conclusion, we have reported in this paper an efficient cross-coupling of aryl halides and a-chloroesters, as well as the Refortmatsky reaction, enabling the preparation of valuable target molecules such as aryl propanoic acid derivatives or β -hydroxyesters. The scope of the method is wide concerning the type of organic compounds involved as α chloroesters can be used. For the Reformatsky reaction, the method is efficient with ketones. Aryl propanoic acid derivatives could be obtained with a large variety of functional groups (electron-donating as well as electron-withdrawing groups), using aryl bromides or iodides. The method is also very easy, cheap, and totally original.

Further investigations to exploit the mechanism are in progress.

3. Experimental

3.1. Analytical methods

GC analysis was carried out using a 4-m capillary column. Mass spectra were recorded with a spectrometer coupled to a gas chromatograph. Column chromatography was performed on silica gel 60, 70–230 mesh. ${}^{1}H$, ${}^{13}C$, and ${}^{19}F$ NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl₃ at 200, 50, and 188 MHz, respectively, with TMS as an internal standard. All solvents and reagents were purchased and used without further purification. DMF is stored under argon. The catalyst precursor NiBr₂bipy was either prepared separately according to the literature.[18](#page-7-0)

3.1.1. General procedure for the Reformatsky reaction (Scheme 1, Eq. 1). Carbonyl compounds (10 mmol) and 13 mmol of α -chloroester were added in a stirred flask under argon at room temperature with 15 mL of DMF. Then 1.1 g of Mn (20 mmol) is introduced, followed up by 0.26 g of NiBr₂bipy (0.7 mmol) and finally 20 μ L of CF₃CO₂H to activate manganese metal. The reaction is conducted at room temperature. The reaction was monitored by GC and stopped after carbonyl compound was consumed (ca. 1 h). The mixture was then hydrolyzed with 1 N hydrochloric acid and diluted with diethyl ether. The aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether, the combined organic layers were washed with water and saturated NaCl solution, dried over $MgSO₄$ and the solvent was evaporated. The oil thus obtained was purified by column chromatography to give desired compounds.

3.1.2. General procedure for the synthesis of aryl propanoic esters (Scheme 1, Eq. 2). Aryl halides (10 mmol) and 13 mmol of α -chloroester were added in a stirred flask under argon at room temperature with 15 mL of DMF. Then 1.1 g of Mn (20 mmol) is introduced, followed up by 0.26 g of NiBr₂bipy (0.7 mmol) and finally 20 μ L of CF₃CO₂H to activate manganese metal. The reaction is conducted at room temperature for aryl iodide or 50° C for aryl bromide. The reaction was monitored by GC and stopped after aryl halide was consumed (ca. 1 h). The mixture was then hydrolyzed with 1 N hydrochloric acid and diluted with diethyl ether. The aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether, the combined organic layers were washed with water and saturated NaCl solution, dried over MgSO₄, and the solvent was evaporated. The oil thus obtained was purified by column chromatography to give desired compounds.

3.1.2.1. Methyl (1-hydroxy-a-methylcyclohexane)acetate. ¹H NMR: 3.70 (s, 3H); 3.04 (s, 1H); 2.52 (q, J=7.1 Hz, 1H); 1.48 (m, 10H); 1.19 (d, $J=7.1$ Hz, 3H).

MS: 187 (M+1), 169 (M–OH), 155 (M–OMe), 143, 130, 113, 99, 81 (base), 55.

3.1.2.2. Methyl (1-hydroxy-a-methyl-2-cyclohexene) acetate. ¹H NMR: anti: 5.50–5.92 (m, 2H); 3.70 (s, 3H); 2.98 (s, 1H); 2.63 (g, $J=7.2$ Hz, 1H), 2.10–1.50 (m, 6H); 1.22 (d, $J=7.2$ Hz, 3H).

syn: 5.9–5.5 (m, 2H); 3.7 (s, 3H); 3 (s, 1H); 2.60 (q, $J=7.4$ Hz, 1H), 2.10–1.50 (m, 6H); 1.18 (d, $J=7.4$ Hz, 3H).

 $MS: 184$ (M), 156 (M-CO), 124 (M-CO₂Me), 97 (base), 79, 55.

3.1.2.3. Methyl 3-hydroxy-2-methyl-3-phenylbutanoate. ¹H NMR: anti: 7.41 (m, 2H); 7.20 (m, 3H); 4.15 (s, 1H); 3.33 (s, 3H); 2.98 (q, $J=7.07$ Hz, 1H); 1.42 (s, 3H); 1.23 (d, $J=7.07$ Hz, 3H).

syn: 7.40 (m, 2H); 7.25 (m, 3H); 3.91 (s, 1H); 3.70 (s, 3H); 2.86 (q, J=7.12 Hz, 1H); 1.55 (s, 3H); 0.95 (d, J=7.12 Hz, 3H).

 $MS: 209 (M+1), 191 (M-OH), 121 (base), 105, 77.$

3.1.2.4. Methyl 3-hydroxy-2,3-dimethyl-3-thienylpropanoate. ¹H NMR: anti: 7.17-7.14 (m, 1H); $6.92 - 6.85$ (m, 2H); 4.4 (s, 1H); 3.57 (s, 3H); 2.99 (q, $J=7.13$ Hz, 1H); 1.54 (s, 3H); 1.28 (d, $J=7.13$ Hz, 3H).

syn: 7.7–7.6 (m, 1H); 7.2–7.1 (m, 2H); 4.09 (s, 1H); 3.7 (s, $3H$); 2.87 (q, J=6.7 Hz, 1H); 1.62 (s, 3H); 1.11 (d, $J=6.7$ Hz, 3H).

 $MS: 214 \,(M), 197 \,(M-OH), 127 \,(M-C₂H₇O₂, base), 111,$ 97, 85, 57.

Anal. Calcd for $C_{10}H_{14}O_3S$: C, 56.05; H, 6.58; S 14.96. Found: C, 56.17; H, 6.53; S, 15.04.

3.1.2.5. Methyl 3,3-diphenyl-3-hydroxy-2-methylpropanoate. ¹H NMR: 7.50 (m, 4H); 7.20 (m, 6H); 4.68 (s, 1H); 3.66 (q, J=7.1 Hz, 1H); 3.00 (s, 3H); 1.16 (d, $J=7.1$ Hz, 3H).

 $MS: 270$ (M); 253 (M-OH), 183 (base), 105, 77.

3.1.2.6. Methyl 3-ethyl-3-hydroxy-2-methylpenta**noate.** ¹H NMR: 3.71 (s, 3H); 3.20 (s, 1H); 2.61 (q, $J=7.2$ Hz, 1H); 1.50 (m, 4H); 1.17 (d, $J=7.2$ Hz, 3H); 0.88 (t, $J=7.4$ Hz, 3H); 0.82 (t, $J=7.6$ Hz, 3H).

MS: 175 (M+1), 157 (M-OH), 145 (M-Et), 113, 97, 88, 57 (base).

3.1.2.7. Methyl 3-hydroxy-2,3-dimethyl hexanoate. ${}^{1}H$ NMR: anti: 3.71 (s, 3H); 3.12 (s, 1H); 2.57 (q, J=7 Hz, 1H); 1.42 (m, 4H); 1.19 (d, $J=7$ Hz, 3H); 1.18 (s, 3H); 0.91 (m, 3H).

syn: 3.7 (s, 3H); 3.1 (s, 1H); 2.54 (q, J=7 Hz, 1H); 1.4 (m, 4H); 1.2 (d, $J=7$ Hz, 3H); 1.1 (s, 3H); 0.9 (m, 3H).

 $MS: 175 (M+1), 157 (M-OH, base), 131 (M-C₃H₇), 99,$ 71, 57.

3.1.2.8. Methyl 3-hydroxy-2,3,7-trimethyl-6-octe**noate.** ¹H NMR: anti: 5.13–5.05 (m, 1H); 3.70 (s, 3H); 3.24 (s, 1H); 2.59 (q, $J=7.1$ Hz, 1H); 2.08–2.01 (m, 2H); 1.67 (s, 3H); 1.61 (s, 3H); 1.53–1.43 (m, 2H); 1.21 (s, 3H); 1.20 (d, $J=7.1$ Hz, 3H).

syn: 5.13–5.05 (m, 1H); 3.70 (s, 3H); 3.24 (s, 1H); 2.57 (q, $J=7.09$ Hz, 1H); 2.08–2.01 (m, 2H); 1.67 (s, 3H); 1.61 (s, 3H); 1.53–1.43 (m, 2H); 1.15 (s, 3H); 1.20 (d, $J=7.09$ Hz, 3H).

 $MS: 215 (M+1)$, 196 (M-OH), 136, 121, 109 (base), 99, 93, 81, 67, 57.

3.1.2.9. Methyl 3-hydroxy-2-methyl-3-phenylpropa**noate.** ¹H NMR: anti: 7.23 (m, 5H); 4.97 (d, $J = 5.1$ Hz, 1H); 3.76 (s, 1H); 3.53 (s, 3H); 2.73 (qd, J_1 =7.0 Hz, $J_2=5.1$ Hz, 1H); 1.10 (d, $J=7.0$ Hz, 3H).

syn: 7.28 (m, 5H); 4.68 (d, J=8.7 Hz, 1H); 3.65 (s, 3H); 3.51 (s, 1H); 2.76 (qd, $J_1=8.7$ Hz, $J_2=7.2$ Hz, 1H); 0.91 (d, $J=7.2$ Hz, 3H).

MS: 195, 177 (base), 121, 107, 88, 79, 57.

3.1.2.10. Methyl 3-hydroxy-2-methylundecanoate. ${}^{1}H$ NMR: anti: 3.88 (m, 1H); 3.69 (s, 3H); 2.54 (dq, J_1 =7.1 Hz, J_2 =4.5 Hz, 1H); 1.45–1.28 (m, 15H); 1.18 (d, J=7.1 Hz, $3H$); 0.88 (t, $J=6.4$ Hz, 3H).

syn: 3.7 (m, 1H); 3.69 (s, 3H); 2.3 (m, 1H); 1.45–1.28 (m, 15H); 1.18 (d, $J=7.1$ Hz, 3H); 0.88 (t, $J=6.4$ Hz, 3H).

MS: 231 (M+1), 215 (M-CH₃), 197, 181, 163, 117, 88 (base), 57.

3.1.2.11. Methyl (1-hydroxycyclohexyl)acetate. ${}^{1}H$ NMR: 3.7 (s, 3H); 3.38 (s, 1H); 2.48 (s, 2H); 1.68–1.36 (m, 10H).

MS: 173 (M+1), 155 (M-OH), 130, 123, 116, 97, 79 (base), 69, 55.

3.1.2.12. Methyl 3-hydroxy-3-phenylbutanoate. ${}^{1}H$ NMR: 7.45–7.40 (m, 2H); 7.39–7.15 (m, 3H); 4.35 (s, 1H), 3.53 (s, 3H); 2.96 (d, J=15.9 Hz, 1H); 2.77 (d, J=15.9 Hz, 1H); 1.52 (s, 3H).

MS: 195 (M+1), 179 (M-CH₃, base), 177 (M-OH), 121 (M-CH₂CO₂CH₃), 105, 91, 77, 51.

3.1.2.13. Methyl 3-hydroxy-3,3-diphenylpropa**noate.** ¹H NMR: 7.4–7.2 (m, 10H); 5.04 (s, 1H); 3.63 (s, 3H); 3.28 (s, 2H).

 $MS: 256$ (M), 239 (M-OH), 183 (M-CH₂CO₂CH₃), 105 (base), 77, 51.

3.1.2.14. Methyl 3-ethyl-3-hydroxypentanoate. ¹ ${}^I H$ NMR: 3.69 (s, 3H); 3.46 (s, 1H); 2.46 (s, 2H); 1.54 (q, $J=7.2$ Hz, 4H); 0.88 (t, $J=7.2$ Hz, 6H).

 $MS: 161$ (M+1, base), 143 (M-OH), 131 (M-C₂H₅), 111, 99, 83, 69, 57.

3.1.2.15. Methyl 3-hydroxy-3,7-dimethyloct-6 enoate. ¹H NMR: 5.13–5.05 (m, 1H); 3.69 (s, 3H); 3.50 $(s, 1H)$; 2.57 (d, J=15.8 Hz, 1H); 2.38 (d, J=15.8 Hz, 1H); 2.08–2.01 (m, 2H); 1.67 (s, 6H); 1.53–1.43 (m, 2H); 1.31 (s, 3H).

 $MS: 201 (M+1), 182 (M-H₂O), 123, 109 (base), 57.$

3.1.2.16. 3-Ethyl-3-hydroxy-2-methylpentanenitrile. ${}^{1}H$ $NMR: 2.9$ (s, 1H); 2.79 (q, J=7.2 Hz, 1H); 1.66 (q, J=7.1 Hz, 2H); 1.61 (q, $J=7.7$ Hz, 2H); 1.29 (d, $J=7.2$ Hz, 3H); 0.92 (t, $J=7.1$ Hz, 3H); 0.89 (t, $J=7.7$ Hz, 3H).

MS: 142 (M+1), 124 (M-OH), 112, 87, 69, 56 (base).

3.1.2.17. (Propenyl-2)-1-cyclohexanol. ${}^{1}H$ NMR: 5.9 (ddt, $J=16.8$ Hz, $J=10.8$ Hz, $J=7.5$ Hz, 1H); 5.11 (d, $J=16.8$ Hz, 1H); 5.09 (d, $J=10.8$ Hz, 1H); 2.34 (s, 1H); 2.2 (d, J=7.5 Hz, 2H); 2.04-0.84 (m, 10H).

 $MS: 139 (M-H)$, 123 (M-OH), 99, 81 (base), 55.

3.1.2.18. 1-(1-Methyl-2-propenyl)-cyclohexanol. ¹ H NMR: 5.92–5.74 (m, 1H); 5.09–5.01 (m, 2H); 2.17 (dq, $J=7.7$ Hz, $J=7$ Hz, 1H); 1.62 (s, 1H); 1.56–1.3 (m, 10H); 1.0 (d, $J=7$ Hz, 3H).

 $MS: 154, 137 (M-OH), 99 (M-C₄H₇), 81 (base), 55.$

3.1.2.19. 2-Thienyl-pent-4-en-2-ol. $H NMR: 7.27-$ 7.17 (m, 1H); 6.97–6.89 (m, 2H); 5.80–5.63 (m, 1H); 5.19–5.11 (m, 2H); 2.75–2.54 (m, 2H); 2.32 (s, 1H); 1.62 (s, 3H).

 $MS: 168$ (M), 151 (M-OH), 127 (M-C₃H₅, base), 111, 97, 85 (M $-C_4H_3S$), 71, 57.

3.1.2.20. Methyl 2-phenylpropanoate. $H NMR: 7.26$ (s, 5H); 3.69 (g, $J=7.3$ Hz, 1H); 3.58 (s, 3H); 1.46 (d, $J=7.3$ Hz, 3H).

 $MS: 164 \, (M), 105 \, (M-CO₂)Me, base).$

3.1.2.21. Methyl 2-(3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl) propanoate. ¹H NMR: 7.61 (s, 1H); 7.53-7.36 (m, 3H); 3.79 (q, J=7.16 Hz, 1H); 3.63 (s, 3H); 1.51 (d, J=7.16 Hz, 3H).

 19 F NMR: -62.59 (s, 3F)/CFCl₃.

¹³C NMR: 174.0–141.6–130.83 (q, J_{CCF} =32 Hz)–130.82– 129.0 (q, J_{CCCF} =3.9 Hz)–124.4 (q, J_{CCCF} =3.9 Hz)– 124.13–124.09 (q, J_{CF} =272 Hz, CF₃)–51.7–45.1–18.1.

MS: 232 (M), 213 (M-F), 182, 173 (M-CO₂Me, base), 154.

3.1.2.22. Methyl 2-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)propanoate. ${}^{1}H NMR$: 7.59 and 7.42 (2H each, AA'-BB' system $J=8.2$ Hz); 3.80 (q, $J=7.1$ Hz, 1H); 3.67 (s, 3H); 1.52 (d, $J=7.1$ Hz, 3H).

 19 F NMR: -62.33 (s, 3F)/CFCl₃.

 $MS: 232 \,(M), 213 \,(M-F), 173 \,(M-CO₂Me, base), 154.$

3.1.2.23. Methyl 2-(4-cyanophenyl)propanoate. ${}^{1}H$ NMR : 7.63 and 7.44 (2H each, AA'-BB' system, $J=8.2$ Hz); 3.81 (g, $J=7.2$ Hz, 1H); 3.68 (s, 3H); 1.53 (d, $J=7.2$ Hz, $3H$).

 $MS: 189$ (M), 174 (M-Me), 158 (M-OMe), 130 $(M-CO₂Me, base)$, 115.

3.1.2.24. Methyl 4-(1-methoxy-1-oxopropan-2-yl)ben**zoate.** ¹H NMR: 8.00 and 7.37 (2H each, AA' -BB' system, $J=8.36$ Hz); 3.91 (s, 3H); 3.79 (q, $J=7.19$ Hz, 1H); 3.67 (s, 3H); 1.52 (d, J=7.19 Hz, 3H).

MS: 222 (M), 207 (M-Me), 191 (M-OMe), 163 $(M-CO₂Me, base)$, 131, 117, 103, 92.

3.1.2.25. Methyl 2-(1-methoxy-1-oxopropan-2-yl)ben**zoate.** ¹H NMR: 7.90 (dd, J=7.79 Hz, J=1.32 Hz, 1H); 7.51–7.24 (m, 3H); 4.65 (q, $J=7.16$ Hz, 1H); 3.86 (s, 3H); 3.62 (s, 3H); 1.52 (d, $J=7.16$ Hz, 3H).

13C NMR: 174.5–167.4–141.8–132.0–130.5–129.0–128.2– 126.5–51.6–51.5–41.8–17.9.

 $MS: 222 (M), 190 (M-OMe), 162 (M-CO₂Me, base), 147,$ 131, 103, 77.

3.1.2.26. Methyl 2-(4-acetylphenyl)propanoate. ${}^{1}H$ NMR : 7.91 and 7.39 (2H each, AA' -BB' system, $J=8.36$ Hz); 3.80 (q, $J=7.17$ Hz, 1H); 3.64 (s, 3H); 2.56 $(s, 3H); 1.51 (d, J=7.17 Hz, 3H).$

 $MS: 206$ (M), 191 (M-Me, base), 147 (M-CO₂Me), 103.

3.1.2.27. Methyl 2-(4-methoxyphenyl)propanoate. $^{\,1}H$ NMR : 7.19 and 6.82 (2H each, $AA' - BB'$ system, $J=8.68$ Hz); 3.70 (s, 3H); 3.64 (q, $J=7.1$ Hz, 1H); 3.59 (s, 3H); 1.44 (d, J=7.1 Hz, 3H).

 $MS: 194 \,(M), 163 \,(M-OMe, base), 135 \,(M-CO₂Me), 132,$ 104.

3.1.2.28. Methyl 2-(naphthalen-1-yl)propanoate. ${}^{1}H$ NMR: 8.02 (d, J=8.26 Hz, 1H); 7.76–7.62 (m, 2H); 7.47– 7.29 (m, 4H); 4.42 (q, $J=7.2$ Hz, 1H); 3.49 (s, 3H); 1.59 $(d, J=7.2 \text{ Hz}, 3H).$

 $MS: 214 (M), 183 (M-OMe), 155 (M-CO₂Me, base).$

3.1.2.29. 1,1'-Binaphthyl. ¹H NMR: 8.17 (s, 2H); 7.98– 7.86 (m, 8H); 7.56–7.46 (m, 4H).

MS: 254 (M), 127 (base).

3.1.2.30. Methyl 2-(3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)acetate. ¹H NMR: 7.57 (s, 1H); 7.49–7.32 (m, 3H); 3.67 (s, 3H); 3.66 (s, 2H).

 $MS: 218 (M), 199 (M-F), 159 (M-CO₂Me, base), 140, 109.$

3.1.2.31. Methyl 2-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)acetate. ¹H NMR: 7.55 and 7.38 (2H each, AA' -BB' system, $J=8.16$ Hz); 3.69 (s, 2H); 3.67 (s, 3H).

 ^{13}C NMR: 171.0–138.0–129.7 (2C)–129.2 (q, $J_{\text{CCF}}=$ 32.2 Hz)–125.1 (q, J_{CCCF} =3.9 Hz, 2C)–124.1 (q, J_{CF} = 271.8 Hz, CF_3)-51.8-40.5.

 $MS: 218 (M), 199 (M-F), 168, 159 (M-CO₂)Me, base), 140.$

3.1.2.32. Methyl 2-(4-cyanophenyl) acetate. ${}^{1}H NMR$: 7.80 and 7.40 (2H each, AA'-BB' system, J=8.3 Hz); 3.70 (s, 3H); 3.65 (s, 2H).

MS: 175 (M), 160 (M-Me), 144 (M-OMe), 116 (M- $CO₂Me$, base), 89.

3.1.2.33. Methyl 4-(2-methoxy-2-oxoethyl)benzoate. H NMR : 7.99 and 7.35 (2H each, AA'-BB' system, $J=8.14$ Hz); 3.89 (s, 3H); 3.69 (s, 3H); 3.68 (s, 2H).

 ${}^{13}C$ NMR: 171.1–166.6–139.0–129.7 (2C)–129.3 (2C)– 129.0–52.0–51.8–40.9.

 $MS: 208$ (M), 177 (M-OMe, base), 149 (M-CO₂Me), 121, 89.

3.1.2.34. Methyl 2-(4-acetylphenyl) acetate. $H NMR$: 7.90 and 7.36 (2H each, AA' -BB' system, $J=8.22$ Hz); 3.68 (s, 5H); 2.56 (s, 3H).

 ${}^{13}C$ NMR: 197.2–170.9–139.1–135.7–129.3 (2C)–128.3 $(2C)$ –51.8–40.6–26.4.

 $MS: 192 (M), 177 (M–Me, base), 133 (M–CO₂Me), 89.$

References and notes

- 1. For recent reviews of the Reformatsky reaction, see: (a) Ocampo, R.; Dolbier, W. R., Jr. Tetrahedron 2004, 60, 9325– 9374; (b) Fürstner, A. Synthesis 1989, 571–590; (c) Rathke, M. W.; Weipert, P. Comprehensive Organic Synthesis; Trost, B. M., Fleming, I., Eds.; Elsevier: New York, NY, 1991; Vol. 2, pp 277–299; (d) Fürstner, A. Organozinc Reagents; Knochel, P., Jones, P., Eds.; Oxford University Press: New York, NY, 1999; pp 287–305.
- 2. (a) Bentz, E.; Moloney, M. G.; Westaway, S. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 7395-7397; (b) Liu, X.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 5182–5191; (c) Hama, T.; Liu, X.; Culkin, D. A.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 11176–11177.
- 3. Orsini, F.; Sello, G. Curr. Org. Synth. 2004, 1, 111–135.
- 4. Inab, S.-I.; Rieke, R. D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 155–156. 5. (a) Kahiga, H.; Nishimae, S.; Shinokubo, H.; Oshima, K.
- Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 8807–8815; (b) Cahiez, G.; Chavant, P.-Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 7373–7376.
- 6. Wessjohann, L.; Gabriel, T. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 3772–3774.
- 7. (a) Hirashita, T.; Kinoshita, K.; Yamamura, H.; Kawai, M.; Araki, S. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 2000, 825–828; (b) Lee, P. H.; Bang, K.; Sung, S.; Chang, S. Synth. Commun. 2001, 31, 3781–3789.
- 8. (a) Connan, A.; Sibille, S.; Périchon, J. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 2018–2024; (b) Durandetti, M.; Nédelec, J.-Y.; Périchon, J. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 2073–2076; (c) Durandetti, M.; Meignein, C.; Périchon, J. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 317-320; (d) Durandetti, M.; Périchon, J. Patent application, FR 2004-7230, 2004; Chem. Abstr. 2006, 144, 108097; (e) Durandetti, M.; Périchon, J. Synthesis 2006, 1542-1548.
- 9. Rieu, J. P.; Boucherle, A.; Cousse, H.; Mouzin, G. Tetrahedron 1986, 42, 4095–4131.
- 10. (a) Bakshi, S. P.; Turner, E. E. J. Chem. Soc. 1961, 171–173; (b) Sock, O.; Troupel, M.; Périchon, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 1509–1512.
- 11. (a) Millard, A. A.; Rathke, M. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 4833–4835; (b) Fauvarque, J. F.; Jutand, A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1979, 177, 273–281; (c) Klingstedt, T.; Frejd, T. Organometallics 1983, 2, 598–600; (d) Gooßen, L. J. Chem. Commun. 2001, 669–670.
- 12. (a) Durandetti, M.; Nédélec, J.-Y.; Périchon, J. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 1748-1755; (b) Durandetti, M.; Périchon, J. Synthesis 2004, 3079–3083.
- 13. (a) Durandetti, M.; Périchon, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 6255–6258; (b) Fillon, H.; Gosmini, C.; Périchon, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 3867–3870.
- 14. (a) Fürstner, A.; Shi, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 2533-2534; (b) Amatore, M.; Gosmini, C.; Périchon, J. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 6130–6134.
- 15. (a) Yamamoto, Y.; Asao, N. Chem. Rev. 1993, 93, 2207–2293; (b) Ito, A.; Kishida, M.; Kurusu, Y.; Masuyama, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 494–498.
- 16. de França, K. W. R.; Navarro, M.; Léonel, É.; Durandetti, M.; Nédélec, J.-Y. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 1838-1842.
- 17. (a) Tamao, K.; Yamamoto, H.; Matsumodo, H.; Miyake, N.; Hayashi, T.; Kumada, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1977, 18, 1389– 1392; (b) Heiser, B.; Broger, E. A.; Crameri, Y. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1991, 2, 51–62.
- 18. (a) Uchino, M.; Asagi, K.; Yamamoto, A.; Ikeda, S. J. Organomet. Chem. 1975, 84, 93-103; (b) Dunach, E.; Périchon, J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1988, 352, 239–246.